This record is a partial extract of the original cable. The full text of the original cable is not available.

UNCLAS SECTION 01 OF 03 VILNIUS 001013

SIPDIS

SENSITIVE

DEPARTMENT FOR DS/TP/TTA

E.O. 12958: N/A

TAGS: ASEC LH PTER
SUBJECT: SECURITY ENVIRONMENT PROFILE QUESTIONNAIRE (SEPQ)

FOR LITHUANIA - FALL, 2005

REF: SECSTATE 162859

ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS PROVIDED IN REFTEL ARE AS FOLLOWS:

#### ¶1. DEMONSTRATIONS

- 1A. ARE THERE ANY ETHNIC OR RELIGIOUS COMMUNITIES IN COUNTRY CAPABLE OF CARRYING OUT SIGNIFICANT ANTI-AMERICAN DEMONSTRATIONS? NO
- 1B. HAVE THERE BEEN ANTI-AMERICAN DEMONSTRATIONS IN THE COUNTRY WITHIN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? NO
- 1C. HAVE DEMONSTRATIONS TAKEN PLACE NEAR OR IN FRONT OF U.S. DIPLOMATIC FACILITIES? No
- \_D. WHAT IS THE AVERAGE SIZE OF AN ANTI-AMERICAN DEMONSTRATION? N/A
- 1E. ARE ANTI-AMERICAN DEMONSTRATIONS USUALLY TRIGGERED BY U.S. FOREIGN POLICY INITIATIVES, MILITARY ACTIONS, OR BY DOMESTIC ISSUES? N/A
- 1F. ARE DEMONSTRATIONS GENERALLY VIOLENT OR PEACEFUL? N/A
- \_1G. IF VIOLENT, HAVE ANY DEMONSTRATIONS RESULTED IN DAMAGE TO USG PROPERTY OR INJURIES TO USG EMPLOYEES? N/A
- 1H. IF VIOLENT, HAVE ANY DEMONSTRATORS EVER PENETRATED OUR PERIMETER SECURITY LINE? N/A
- 11. HAVE THERE BEEN ANTI-GOVERNMENT DEMONSTRATIONS IN THE COUNTRY WITHIN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? Yes
- 1J. HAVE DEMONSTRATIONS TAKEN PLACE NEAR OR IN FRONT OF U.S. DIPLOMATIC FACILITIES? No
- 1K. WHAT IS THE AVERAGE SIZE OF AN ANTI-GOVERNMENT DEMONSTRATION? 20-50 people
- 1. ARE DEMONSTRATIONS GENERALLY VIOLENT OR PEACEFUL? Peaceful
- $\P M.$  IF VIOLENT, HAVE ANY DEMONSTRATIONS RESULTED IN DAMAGE TO USG PROPERTY? N/A
- 12. (SBU) MACRO CONFLICT CONDITIONS
- 1A. IS THE HOST COUNTRY ENGAGED IN AN INTERSTATE OR INTRASTATE CONFLICT? (THIS MAY INCLUDE BATTLES BETWEEN ORGANIZED AND VIOLENT DRUG CARTELS) NO
- 1B. IF AN INTRASTATE CONFLICT, IS IT AN INSURGENCY LIMITED TO A SPECIFIC REGION OR IS IT A COUNTRYWIDE CIVIL WAR? N/A
- 1C. IF LIMITED TO A SPECIFIC REGION, ARE ANY U.S. DIPLOMATIC FACILITIES LOCATED IN THIS REGION? N/A
- 10. HAVE ANY OF THE FACTIONS INVOLVED IN INTRASTATE CONFLICTS SIGNALED OR DEMONSTRATED AN ANTI-AMERICAN ORIENTATION? N/A
- 13. (SBU) HOST COUNTRY CAPABILITIES
- \_1a. ARE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES PROFESSIONAL AND WELL-TRAINED? Yes
- 1B. HAVE THEY BEEN TRAINED BY U.S. AGENCIES? IF SO, PLEASE ELABORATE ON EFFECTIVENESS OF TRAINING. The USG has provided several training programs for host nation law enforcement. The response from Lithuanian personnel and USG trainers has been extremely positive.
- 1C. ARE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES CONFRONTED WITH SERIOUS, WIDESPREAD CORRUPTION INSIDE THEIR AGENCIES? No
- $\underline{\mbox{1}}\mbox{D.}$  ARE THE INTELLIGENCE SERVICES PROFESSIONAL AND CAPABLE OF DETERRING TERRORIST ACTIONS? Yes
- 1E. HAVE THE INTELLIGENCE SERVICES BEEN COOPERATIVE WITH U.S. EMBASSY REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION AND SUPPORT? Yes

- 1F. ASSUMING THERE HAVE BEEN SIGNIFICANT TERRORIST THREATS IN RECENT YEARS, HAVE HOST COUNTRY SECURITY SERVICES BEEN ABLE TO SCORE ANY MAJOR ANTI-TERRORISM SUCCESSES? N/A
- 1G. HAS HOST COUNTRY BEEN RESPONSIVE (RE: TIMELINESS AND ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES) TO EMBASSY REQUESTS FOR PROTECTIVE SECURITY? Yes
- 1H. HOW DOES THE EMBASSY ASSESS THE OVERALL SECURITY AT
  MAJOR AIRPORTS IN THE COUNTRY? (EXCELLENT; VERY GOOD,
  GOOD/AVERAGE: POOR) GOOD
- GOOD/AVERAGE; POOR) GOOD

  1. HOW EFFECTIVE ARE CUSTOMS AND IMMIGRATION CONTROLS
  AGENCIES? (EFFECTIVE; AVERAGE; INEFFECTIVE) Effective
- 1J. HOW EFFECTIVE ARE BORDER PATROL FORCES? (EFFECTIVE; AVERAGE; INEFFECTIVE) Effective

#### \_\_\_\_\_

## INDIGENOUS TERRORISM

- 14. (SBU) ANTI-AMERICAN TERRORIST GROUPS
- $\underline{\ \ } \text{A.}$  ARE THERE INDIGENOUS, ANTI-AMERICAN TERRORIST GROUPS IN COUNTRY? No.
- 1B. IF YES, HOW MANY? PLEASE NAME GROUPS. N/A
- 1C. HAVE GROUPS CARRIED OUT ANTI-AMERICAN ATTACKS
  WITHIN THE LAST 12 MONTHS? N/A
- 1D. WERE ANY OF THESE LETHAL ATTACKS? N/A
- 1E. HAVE GROUPS ATTACKED U.S. DIPLOMATIC TARGETS? N/A
- 1F. HAVE GROUPS ATTACKED U.S. BUSINESS, U.S. MILITARY, OR U.S. RELATED TARGETS? N/A
- $\underline{\ }$  G. HAVE GROUPS LIMITED THEIR ATTACKS TO SPECIFIC REGIONS OR DO THEY OPERATE COUNTRY-WIDE? N/A
- $\underline{\P}H$  . If ATTACKS ARE LIMITED TO REGIONS, ARE THERE ANY U.S. DIPLOMATIC FACILITIES LOCATED IN THESE REGIONS? N/A
- 15. (SBU) OTHER INDIGENOUS TERRORIST GROUPS
- 1A. ARE THERE OTHER INDIGENOUS TERRORIST GROUPS (NOT ANTI-AMERICAN) IN COUNTRY? No.
- 1B. IF YES, HOW MANY? PLEASE NAME GROUPS. N/A
- 1C. HAVE GROUPS CARRIED OUT ATTACKS IN THE CAPITAL OR IN AREAS WHERE U.S. DIPLOMATIC FACILITIES ARE LOCATED? N/A
- 1D. WERE ATTACKS LETHAL AND/OR INDISCRIMINATE? N/A
- HAVE THERE BEEN ANY AMERICANS KILLED OR INJURED IN THESE ATTACKS? N/A

# TRANSNATIONAL TERRORISM

## -----

- 16. (SBU) TRANSNATIONAL TERRORIST INDICATORS
- 1A. ARE THERE ANY FOREIGN TERRORIST GROUPS THAT HAVE A PRESENCE IN COUNTRY? PROVIDE NAMES. No.
- 1B. HOW DOES POST ASSESS THIS PRESENCE? IS IT AN OPERATIONAL CELL? FINANCIAL CELL? SUPPORT CELL? PROPAGANDA CELL? N/A
- ¶C. IS THE HOST GOVERNMENT SYMPATHETIC TO THESE GROUPS? N/A
- 1D. ARE THERE SUSPECT NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS (NGOS) IN THE COUNTRY THAT HAVE A RELATIONSHIP WITH ANY OF THESE GROUPS? N/A
- 1E. ARE THERE ANY ETHNIC OR RELIGIOUS COMMUNITIES IN COUNTRY THAT ARE SYMPATHETIC TO THESE GROUPS? N/A
- 1F. HOW DOES POST ASSESS THE LEVEL, INTENT, AND SCOPE OF HOSTILE INTELLIGENCE SERVICES (IRAN, IRAQ, SYRIA, SERBIA, SUDAN, ET. AL.) IN COUNTRY RELATIVE TO POTENTIAL ANTI-AMERICAN TERRORIST ACTS? The threat level is low.
- \*\*IG. HOW DOES POST ASSESS THE AVAILABILITY OF WEAPONS AND EXPLOSIVES IN COUNTRY OR FROM NEARBY COUNTRIES FOR HOSTILE TERRORIST ELEMENTS? The availability of weapons can be considered high. Although gun control laws within Lithuania are relatively stringent, weapons and explosives are readily available in nearby Belarus and Russia (Kaliningrad). This problem is exacerbated by the fact that there are still many weapons caches throughout Lithuania, which were created by Lithuanian nationalists shortly after the collapse of the Soviet Union.